

Results of the 2023 survey, conducted at the Nov. (election) meeting.

Meeting attendees (only those present) were asked to provide feedback on the following 10 questions anonymously. Since the number of completed surveys (21) is not statistically significant and the nature of the survey (conducted using a non-random membership sampling) is not scientifically valid, results presented here are meant to be illustrative only and shouldn't be interpreted to necessarily represent HOA membership sentiment. Results (i.e. vote counts) are provided in brackets. The final value provided is the count of who did not respond to the question. 2/3 majorities are bolded¹.

Do you support the potential revision of the CCRs to:

- | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------|------------------|
| 1. Split the HOA into either 2 HOAs or 2 administrative districts? | Yes (15) | No (2) | Unk (3) | (1) |
| 2. Allow more latitude in the parking of trailers, RVs, etc. in driveways? | Yes (14) | No (7) | Unk (0) | (0) |
| 3. Allow more latitude in the parking of trailers, RVs, etc. in the street? | Yes (2) | No (19) | Unk (0) | (0) |
| 4. Align parking restrictions to (only) Idaho Falls City ordinances? | Yes (8) | No (7) | Unk (6) | (0) ² |
| 5. Allow basketball hoops and similar equipment in driveways? | Yes (19) | No (1) | Unk (1) | (0) |
| 6. Allow more latitude on property improvements and property maintenance (limit what the HOA can require re: home construction, landscaping, and weed mitigation)? | Yes (6) | No (10) | Unk (4) | (1) ³ |
| 7. Remove all HOA based restrictions on property improvements? | Yes (6) | No (14) | Unk (1) | (0) |
| 8. Remove all HOA based restrictions on property maintenance? | Yes (4) | No (17) | Unk (0) | (0) |
| 9. Suggested change: | <u>received 6 suggestions.</u> | | | |

Do you believe:

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 10. HOA CCR enforcement is | too lax (3) | excessive (2) | about right (9) | (7) ⁴ |
| a. In what way? | <u>received 8 comments.</u> | | | |

General Comments: **received 9 comments.**

Notes:

1. A 2/3 majority is not statistically significant under these survey conditions but is illustrative of support and is expected to help the BoD define future questions to the entire HOA.
2. Results for this question may illustrate a gap in understanding of HOA parking rules and / or Idaho Falls parking ordinances and their justifications.
3. Results for this question (coupled with results for the 2 questions that follow) may illustrate this question was poorly worded / unclear, and / or possibly shows soft support for some relaxing of the CCRs addressed in this question.
4. Results for this question suggest enforcement is "about right" (with 1/3 not responding).